Audiology and Neurotology **Original Paper** 

Audiol Neurotol DOI: 10.1159/000508434 Received: March 7, 2020 Accepted: May 5, 2020 Published online: September 2, 2020

# A New Pathogenic Variant in the TRIOBP Associated with Profound Deafness Is Remediable with Cochlear Implantation

Ahmet M. Tekin<sup>a</sup> Geert de Ceulaer<sup>b</sup> Paul Govaerts<sup>b</sup> Yıldırım Bayazit<sup>a</sup> Wim Wuyts<sup>c</sup> Paul Van de Heyning<sup>d, e</sup> Vedat Topsakal<sup>d, e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, University of Medipol/Medipol University Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey; <sup>b</sup>The Ear group, Antwerp, Belgium; <sup>c</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Center of Medical Genetics/University of Antwerp/Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium; <sup>d</sup>Department of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, University of Antwerp/Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium; <sup>e</sup>Department of Translational Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences/University of Antwerp, Belgium

#### **Keywords**

Sensorineural hearing loss · Cochlear implantation · Hereditary hearing loss · Genetic deafness · Hereditary

#### Abstract

Background and Objectives: A rare type of nonsyndromic autosomal recessive hereditary hearing loss is caused by pathogenic mutations in the TRIOBP gene mostly involving exons 6 and 7. These mutations cause hearing loss originating from dysfunction of sensory inner ear hair cells. Of all the affected siblings, 2 brothers and 1 sister, part of an Afghan family, were referred to our clinic for diagnostic workup and candidacy selection for cochlear implantation (CI). Methods: Molecular analysis showed a homozygous c.1342C > T p. (Arg448\*) pathogenic variant in exon 7 of the TRIOBP gene (reference sequence NM 001039141.2) in all 3 affected siblings. Clinical audiometry demonstrated profound sensorineural hearing loss in all 3 affected siblings (2 males and 1 female), and they were implanted unilaterally. Results: One month after activation, the pure-tone averages with the CI processor were between 30 and 23 dBHL. Ten months after the first activation of the implant, open-set speech audiometry test could be performed for the first time in the 2 young-

karger@karger.com www.karger.com/aud © 2020 S. Karger AG, Basel

Karger

er CI recipients (S5 and S9), and they could identify up to a maximum 77% phonemes correctly. The oldest brother (S12) could not yet perform open-set speech audiometry at that moment. **Conclusions:** Implant outcomes are better with normal inner ear anatomy in general. The earlier congenital patients are implanted, the better their outcomes. Here, we demonstrate both statements are true in a homozygous c.1342C > T p. (Arg448\*) pathogenic variant in the *TRIOBP* gene in all 3 affected siblings. © 2020 S. Karger AG, Basel

# Introduction

Severe sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) is a common sensory deficit that affects at least 1 in 1,000 newborns, and up to 60% of all cases are considered to be of genetic origin [Liu et al., 2001; Morton and Nance, 2006]. Genetic hearing loss can be divided into syndromic and nonsyndromic SNHL [Hochman et al., 2010]. Hereditary hearing impairment without any other associated clinical features is referred to as "nonsyndromic" and is a genetically heterogeneous condition [Friedman and Griffith, 2003]. More than 80 genes have been shown to cause nonsyn-

Vedat Topsakal Department of Oto

Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery University of Antwerp/Antwerp University Hospital Wilrijkstraat 10, BE-2650 Antwerp (Belgium) vedat.topsakal@uza.be

dromic hereditary hearing loss [Miyagawa et al., 2016]. Nevertheless, in only one-third of SNHL patients and in one-fourth of patients with cochlear implants, pathogenic mutations in common hearing loss genes can be identified [Wu et al., 2008a; Wu et al., 2011; Miyagawa et al., 2013].

A nonfrequent type of deafness is TRIOBP-associated nonsyndromic autosomal recessive hereditary hearing loss. In 2006, Riazuddin et al. [2006] and Shahin et al. [2006] mapped DFNB28 to chromosome 22q13.1 and found that pathogenic mutations in TRIOBP were diverging with hearing loss in 15 families. The TRIOBP gene encodes TRIO- and filamentous-actin-binding proteins, which take a significant role in the durability and stiffness of hair cell stereocilia in the cochlea [Kitajiri et al., 2010]. Stereocilia are mechanosensorial structures which are embedded in the apical surface and roots of inner ear hair cells and the cuticular plate [Katsuno et al., 2019]. Soundinduced deflections of the stereocilia bundle change the open probability of the mechanotransduction channel and thereby initiate electrochemical signals that are transmitted via the eighth nerve to the auditory cortex [Zhao and Müller, 2015]. Rigidity and flexibility of the stereocilia bundle during stimuli are of great importance for functioning, and its absence or dysfunction leads to hearing loss due to degeneration of hairy cells with mechanic sensor. Although the length of stereocilia differs according to their place in the cochlea, their rootlet dimensions are identical. There is evidence for a physical connection between the rootlets and the lateral wall. This relation and other characteristics of the cytoskeleton in the apex account for somatic motility in the cochlear amplifier. Some fitting strategies and implant design take this into account in application of cochlear implants [Landsberger et al., 2016]. Its stiffness and durability are secured by its rootlets, pliable structures that harbor the base of the stereocilia into the cuticular plate. Rootlets are formed by densely packed, tapered actin filaments at the base of each stereocilium [Furness et al., 2008].

It has been revealed that the *Triobp* mouse mutant *Triobp*<sup> $\Delta ex8/\Delta ex8$ </sup> with an engineered deletion of exon 8 (orthologous to human exon 6) fails to form normal rootlets, even though parts of the stereocilia develop normally. Upon stimulation of stereocilia of the *Triobp*<sup> $\Delta ex8/\Delta ex8$ </sup> mouse, hyperflexibility of the stereocilia and decreased pivot rigidity were noticed, followed by progressive stereocilia degeneration. Consequently, *Triobp*<sup> $\Delta ex8/\Delta ex8$ </sup> mice are profoundly deaf from an early age [Kitajiri et al., 2010]. This mimics DFNB28 in humans and explains the severity and early prelingual onset of hearing loss.

Various isoforms of the protein, diverging in total length and expression pattern, have been explored [Riazuddin et al., 2006; Shahin et al., 2006]. Both human and mouse isoforms are classified into long (TRIOBP-3, TRI-OBP-5, and TRIOBP-6) and short (TRIOBP-1, TRI-OBP-2, and TRIOBP-4) isoforms. Interestingly, no part of the protein is shared between TRIOBP-1 and TRI-OBP-4 [Seipel et al., 2001]. Such a variety of isoforms encoded by a single gene may be explained by the presence of 6 accepted alternative promoters [Thierry-Mieg and Thierry-Mieg, 2006]. TRIOBP-1 is ubiquitously expressed in different tissues and was found in the whole brain, liver, spleen, kidney, retina, and inner ear [Riazuddin et al., 2006]. TRIOBP-1 plays an important role in regulation of adherent junctions as well as reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton, particularly in stress fibers and cortical F-actin [Shahin et al., 2006]. TRIOBP-4 and TRI-OBP-5 were particularly found in the adult cochlea and retina of both humans and mice. In the inner ear, TRI-OBP-4 and TRIOBP-5 are expressed in stereocilia rootlets. Furthermore, TRIOBP-4 is also localized along the whole length of stereocilia. Proper structure of the rootlets is important for stereocilia rigidity and stiffness, thereby allowing normal process of sound transmission [Kitajiri et al., 2010].

Cochlear implantation (CI) is currently regarded as the regular treatment modality for severe to profound SNHL. CI has well-documented benefits for spoken language, reading skills, and cognitive development [Niparko et al., 2010], but the hearing results after CI can vary among individuals. Outcomes of CI are highly variable depending on numerous factors such as age at onset of the auditory problem, CI age, and amount of residual hearing [Francis et al., 2004; Vlahović and Šindija, 2004]. Another probable factor that can affect the outcomes of the cochlear implants is the etiology of hearing loss. Etiologies including neural and/or central damage to the auditory system have poor outcomes after CI than those primarily affecting the hair cells like hereditary nonsyndromic deafness [Pyman et al., 2000; Francis et al., 2004; Taitelbaum-Swead et al., 2006]. In this study, we report a pathogenic variant in the TRIOBP gene and hearing outcomes after CI and a rather novel fitting strategy in all 3 affected siblings.

# **Materials and Methods**

## Subjects

Of all the affected siblings, 2 brothers and 1 sister, part of an Afghan refugee consanguineous family that arrived in Belgium early 2017, were referred to the outpatient clinic of our tertiary re-



**Fig. 1.** The pedigree and corresponding audiograms of the siblings. **a** The pedigree. **b** Preoperative nonaided (gray) and aided (black) audiometric thresholds for S5. **c** Preoperative nonaided (gray) and aided (black) audiometric thresholds for S9. **d** Preoperative nonaided (gray) and aided (black) audiometric thresholds for S12.

ferral center for otology and neurotology for diagnostic workup and candidacy selection for CI. All study cases were under the age of 18 years; therefore, both parents have signed a written informed consent for surgery, genetic testing, and anonymized use of data for scientific purposes for all children and their own data. The study was conducted ethically in accordance with good clinical practice according the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Since this was a retrospective chart study not requiring any extra visits, interventions, or examinations of the participants, the study has been granted an exemption from requiring ethics approval. At the time of their registration at the clinic, the 3 affected children were 5, 9, and 12 years old, and hereafter the subjects are referred to as S5, S9, and S12, respectively. These children were referred to a special education system in their home country and used gestures as their sole communication mode. Of all the affected siblings, 2 brothers and 1 sister had SNHL requiring cochlear implant surgery. Other siblings have normal hearing thresholds and have not been involved in the genetic study.

## Audiological Evaluation

Clinical audiometry was performed to obtain nonaided puretone air and bone conduction thresholds according to ISO 8253-1 [2010] standards. The hearing thresholds were determined using pulsed pure-tones in the frequency range from 125 Hz to 8 kHz. Aided sound field audiometry was performed according to ISO 8253-2 [2009] standards. The thresholds were determined using warble tones in the frequency range from 250 Hz to 6 kHz. Speech audiometry was performed following ISO 8253-3 [2012] standards. The Flemish version of the Göttingen speech lists was used to assess the children's speech perception [Wouters et al., 1994]. This test consists of 12 lists each containing 10 consonant-vowel-consonant (CVC) words. The percentage of correctly repeated phonemes in the open-set condition was scored. Monosyllable words were presented at discrete intensities of 40, 55, 70, and 85 dB SPL, and a weighted averaged phoneme speech index (EaSI, Eargroup Speech Index) was calculated over the intensities (phoneme score at 70 dB SPL receives double weight).

Spectral discrimination capacity of the aided ears (fitted with hearing aids or with a cochlear implant) was assessed using the A§E-Phoneme discrimination test. This test was first described by Govaerts et al. [2006] and was part of the psychoacoustic test suite that is incorporated in the Audiqueen audiological database software (Otoconsult NV, Antwerp, Belgium).

Auditory brainstem response testing was done using the Biologic<sup>®</sup> Navigator Pro system (Natus, Pleasanton, CA, USA). Otoacoustic emission testing was done using the Otoport registration device (Otodynamics Ltd., Hatfield, UK).

#### Molecular Analysis

Molecular analysis was performed on DNA extracted from fresh blood using standard techniques. Variant analysis was performed by next generation sequencing (NGS) on the NextSeq500 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) after Haloplex enrichment of a gene panel consisting of 99 genes known to be impli-

TRIOBP Pathogenic Variant Causing Deafness Is Remediable with CI

cated in nonsyndromal hearing loss. Sequence data were analyzed with SeqNext Analysis Software (JSI medical systems, Ettenheim, Germany). For all individual genes, a 30× coverage was obtained for >95% of the coding sequence, and for the total gene panel, a 30× coverage was obtained for >98% of the total coding sequences of all genes. Minimal minor allele frequency threshold for variant detection is not based on frequency in a database but on frequency in the generated sequence reads. Potentially pathogenic variants were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Classification of variants was performed according to ACMG guidelines [Richards et al., 2015]. Parental origin of detected variants was done by conventional Sanger sequencing on the ABI3130XL genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

# Results

## Molecular Analysis

Molecular analysis showed a homozygous c.1342C > T p. (Arg448\*) pathogenic variant in exon 7 of the *TRIOBP* gene (reference sequence NM\_001039141.2) in all 3 affected siblings. No other shared variants providing another possible explanation for the hearing loss were observed. Both parents were shown to be heterozygous carrier of this variant.

## Preoperative Audiological Findings

All 3 affected siblings presented with bilateral profound SNHL with pure-tone averages between 83 and 90 dB HL. The hearing loss was first detected in their home country around the age of 2, strongly suggesting a congenital onset. S12 received his first hearing aid as late as the age of 8 years, S9 at the age of 5 years, and S5 at the age of 4 years. The pedigree and corresponding audiograms of the siblings are shown in Figure 1.

Preoperatively, the A§E-Phoneme discrimination test was performed to assess the spectral discrimination capacity of the children's ears fitted with state-of-theart power hearing aids. S5 was able to discriminate only 55% of the 20 presented phoneme contrasts using her hearing aids, S9 could discriminate 95% of the contrasts, and S12 was able to discriminate 75% of the contrasts (Fig. 2).

Speech audiometry tests could not be performed preoperatively in any of the children because none had developed oral speech by the moment of testing. In the process for candidacy for CI, all 3 siblings also underwent ABR testing. No peaks could be identified on the traces up to the maximum output of the ABR testing apparatus (90 dB nHL). No distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAEs) could be recorded from the 6 ears.



**Fig. 2.** Preoperative A§E-Phoneme discrimination results with a hearing aid fitted to the best unaided ear for (from left to right) S5, S9, and S12. In gray the phoneme pairs that could be discriminated, and in black the contrasts that could not be discriminated.

# Audiological Outcome after CI

All 3 children were implanted unilaterally at the University Hospital of Antwerp. All received a Nucleus CI532 implant on the right ear. The first activation of the implant speech processor took place 2 weeks after surgery. A Nucleus CP1000 speech processor was fitted according to the FOX target-driven, computer-assisted approach as described [Govaerts et al., 2010; Battmer et al., 2015; Buechner et al., 2015].

Through this procedure, the sound field thresholds with the implant system in place quickly improved and reached near-normal values. One month after activation, the pure-tone averages with the CI processor were between 30 and 23 dB HL, and the pure-tone averages 1 year after activation are shown in Figure 3.

The spectral discrimination using the A\$E-Phoneme discrimination test was repeated after CI. One month after activation, S5 could discriminate 85% of the contrasts, and S9 and S12 could discriminate 90% and 100%, respectively. S5 and S9 further improved their discrimination capacity, and after 3 months, they could discriminate 95 and 100% of the presented phoneme contrasts, respectively. Finally, at 5 months after CI activation, S5 was also able to discriminate all 20 contrasts (100%) (Fig. 4).



**Fig. 3.** Postoperative audiometric thresholds with the Nucleus CP1000 processor. **a** One month after activation (yellow) and 1 year after activation (red) results for S5. **b** One month after activation (yellow) and 1 year after activation (red) results for S9. **c** One month after activation (yellow) and 1 year after activation (red) results for S12.



**Fig. 4.** Postoperative A§E-Phoneme discrimination scores with the Nucleus CP1000 processor. **a** One month, 3 months, and 5 months after activation results for S5 (in the direction of the arrow increased discrimination scores). **b** One month and 3 months after activation results for S9 (in the direction of the arrow increased discrimination score). **c** One month and 3 months after activation results for S12 (in the direction of the arrow not changed discrimination score). In gray the phoneme pairs that could be discriminated, and in black the contrasts that could not be discriminated.

db HL

а



**Fig. 5.** Postoperative open-set speech audiometry results. **a** Ten months after the first activation of the implant, the average speech score (EaSI) was 63% correct for S5. **b** Ten months after the first activation of the implant, the average speech score (EaSI) was 71% correct for S9.

By the start of 2019, 10 months after the first activation of the implant, the open-set speech audiometry test could be performed for the first time by the 2 younger CI recipients (S5 and S9). Both children could identify up to a maximum of 77% phonemes correctly. The complete speech audiometric curves indicated an average speech score (EaSI) of 63% correct for S5 and 71% correct for S9, as shown in Figure 5. The older sibling (S12) could not yet perform open-set speech audiometry at that moment.

## Discussion

This study presents a homozygous p. (Arg448\*) variant detected in the *TRIOBP* gene in an Afghan family where 3 affected siblings suffer from a profound hearing loss. The 3 siblings (2 males, 1 female) were implanted successfully and showed clear benefit after CI.

The *TRIOBP* gene encodes for a structure protein that has various isoforms. Most mutation-related hearing loss usually originates from the dysfunction of sensory hairy cells in the cochlea. The relationship between TRIOBP-1 and diseases has not been elucidated as much as TRI-OBP-4 and TRIOBP-5. Although TRIOBP-1 and TRI-OBP-4 have been reported to have completely different functions, both have been shown to be associated with cancer [Park et al., 2018]. It has been shown that TRI-OBP-4 and/or TRIOBP-5 is required for hearing, whereas TRIOBP-1 is necessary for the viability and development of the embryo [Kitajiri et al., 2010]. Pathogenic variants in the *TRIOBP* gene are not among the most common causes of hearing loss. In the literature, 22 families [Diaz-Horta et al., 2012; Fardaei et al., 2015; Gu et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2016; Naz et al., 2017] and 2 isolated cases [Wesdorp et al., 2017] were reported to show induced hearing loss due to the mutation in the *TRIOBP* gene, and this is found in subjects from USA, China, India, Iran, Pakistan, Palestine, South Africa, Turkey, and the Netherlands. Our patients originate from Afghanistan.

TRIOBP-5 which is an isoform TRIOBP is reported to be expressed itself at roots of stereocilia, and TRIOBP-4 is reported to be expressed along the root and the whole stereocilia [Kitajiri et al., 2010]. TRIOBP-4 and TRI-OBP-5 should function correctly for morphologic and functional durability and continuity, and the mutation of these isoforms in DFNB28 leads to stereociliary fusion which arises from the impairment of actin netstat apical sites of inner ear hairy cells [Park et al., 2018]. In a study conducted with rats, inactivation of TRIOBP-5 and TRI-OBP-4 was shown to cause impairment in the structure of stereocilia bundles in hair cells and also in the supportive cells that have important functions for normal sound transduction in the organ of Corti, facilitating its necessary mechanic flexibility [Katsuno et al., 2019]. Genetic, physiologic, and morphologic studies show that TRI-OBP-5 and TRIOBP-4 play an active role in these sensory and nonsensory cells in the inner ear.

The region of exon 7 is defined as hot point and shown to be more susceptible to mutations due to the accumulation of repeated sequences [Pollak et al., 2017]. The *TRI-OBP* c.1342C > T p. (Arg448\*) variant detected in our patient's mutation is located in exon 7. This variant is reported (1/249546 alleles) in the gnomAD population in a European (non-Finnish) subject. As *TRIOBP* loss of function variants have been described before as the cause of hearing loss and the homozygous variant segregated in this family with the hearing loss phenotype, we considered this variant as pathogenic. As with many different genetic HI types, DFNB28 shows allele heterogeneity [Wesdorp et al., 2017]. As far as we know, no further delineations in genotype-phenotype correlations exist between the different mutations on different exons and their correlations to hearing loss levels in TRIOBP as described for MYH9-RD [Verver et al., 2016; Wesdorp et al., 2017].

The results after CI found in the pedigree in this study are satisfactory as the hearing nerve is directly stimulated, by-passing hair cells with CI in patients with SNHL whose hairy cells are affected [Volk et al., 2013]. Many studies in CI patients indicate that patients with genetic hearing loss respond differently to the treatment [Wu et al., 2011; Miyagawa et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015; Miyagawa et al., 2016]. While CI results were reported to be successful in hereditary deafness due to GJB2, SLC26A4, mitochondrial mutations, OTOF, Usher syndrome type I, COCH (DFNA9) and MYH9 (DFNA17) [Wu et al., 2008b], DFNB59 or PCDH15 variants are associated with poor CI performance [Wu et al., 2015]. The spiral ganglion theory hypothesizes that CI is likely to fail in genetic deafness affecting the spiral ganglion [Eppsteiner et al., 2012]. However, some gene defects can have expression in the inner ear and also on the spiral ganglion cells [Beisel et al., 2000]. There are few studies on genotype-phenotype correlations in genes expressed in the spiral ganglion. The deafness genes TMPRSS3, CHD7, and DDP1/TIMM8A have expression in the spiral ganglion, and the results of the cochlear implant in mutations associated with these genes have been reported to be poor [Eppsteiner et al., 2012]. TMPRSS3 encodes a transmembrane serine protease expressed in the spiral ganglion and is associated with DFNB8/10 [Guipponi et al., 2002]. Results of cochlear implants related to TMPRSS3 are controversial in the literature. In 1 study, outcomes of bilateral CI in a patient with TMPRSS3 mutation affecting the spiral ganglion were found to be good; however, the results were not shared [Elbracht et al., 2007]. Initially, TMPRSS was even put forward as the ideal type of hearing loss for hybrid CI in electroacoustic strategies [Miyagawa et al., 2013]. The homozygous c.1342C > T p.(Arg448\*) pathogenic variant in exon 7 of the TRIOBP gene found in all 3 affected siblings is to date found to be expressed in hair cells, so far hair cells are affected in mutations of the TRIOBP gene as was seen in our patients, and postoperative outcomes were satisfactory as the spiral ganglion was directly stimulated with CI.

Preoperative prognostic factors should be defined, as CI is an invasive and expensive procedure. The outcome of CI is determined not only by genetic etiology but also by factors such as the duration and the time course of deafening, residual hearing, early implanted children (1st year of life), cognitive and biographic parameters, and acute cochlear trauma during CI [Dalbert et al., 2016; Lenarz, 2017]. Postoperative speech discrimination score is one of the most important indicators of success of the operation [Farhood et al., 2017]. In our patients, the two young siblings (S5 and S9) were quicker in rehabilitation at postoperative 5th month discrimination when compared to the older sibling (S12). This result shows that in patients with prelingual deafness due to genetic causes, younger patients may expect a better CI result than older patients [Miyagawa et al., 2016]. One of the benefits of early cochlear implant application is to minimize the gap between the age of language development and chronological age and to learn hearing information during sensitive hearing and language development periods [Ciscare et al., 2017]. Postoperative follow-up period is as important as preoperative period. A good follow-up of CI operation may be yielded with psychosocial support by evaluating the results together with the family and the audiology team at least every 6 months [Riahi et al., 2013].

Finally, this study describes a new potential variant affecting the *TRIOBP* gene in an Afghani family where 3 affected siblings suffer from a profound hearing loss. We also describe the preoperative audiological findings and the audiological outcome after CI. Based on the improvement of the all 3 affected siblings, we conclude that patients with hereditary hearing loss due to the *TRIOBP* mutation appear to be good candidates for CI.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the patients for their cooperation.

## **Statement of Ethics**

All study cases were under the age of 18 years; therefore, both parents have signed a written informed consent for surgery, genetic testing, and anonymized use of data for scientific purposes for all children and their own data. The study was conducted ethically in accordance with good clinical practice according the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Since this was a retrospective chart study not requiring any extra visits, interventions, or examinations of the participants, the study has been granted an exemption from requiring ethics approval.

#### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

# **Funding Sources**

This research received no specific grants from any funding agency, commercial sectors, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### **Author Contributions**

Vedat Topsakal, Paul Van de Heyning, Geert de Ceulaer, and Paul Govaerts performed interventions, data validation, and data analysis and approved the final version of the manuscript. Ahmet M. Tekin, Yıldırım Bayazit, and Vedat Topsakal were involved in study design, data analysis, and writing. Wim Wuyts and Vedat Topsakal were involved in genetic evaluation and interpretation.

#### References

- Battmer RD, Borel S, Brendel M, Buchner A, Cooper H, Fielden C, et al. Assessment of "Fitting to Outcomes Expert" FOX<sup>™</sup> with new cochlear implant users in a multi-centre study. Cochlear Implants Int. 2015;16:100–9.
- Beisel KW, Nelson NC, Delimont DC, Fritzsch B. Longitudinal gradients of KCNQ4 expression in spiral ganglion and cochlear hair cells correlate with progressive hearing loss in DFNA2. Mol Brain Res. 2000;82:137–49.
- Buechner A, Vaerenberg B, Gazibegovic D, Brendel M, De Ceulaer G, Govaerts P, et al. Evaluation of the "Fitting to Outcomes eXpert" (FOX<sup>®</sup>) with established cochlear implant users. Cochlear Implants Int. 2015;16: 39-46.
- Ciscare GKS, Mantello EB, Fortunato-Queiroz CAU, Hyppolito MA, dos Reis ACMB. Auditory speech perception development in relation to patient's age with cochlear implant. Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;21:206– 12.
- Dalbert A, Huber A, Veraguth D, Roosli C, Pfiffner F. Assessment of cochlear trauma during cochlear implantation using electrocochleography and cone beam computed tomography. Otol Neurotol. 2016;37:446–53.
- Diaz-Horta O, Duman D, Foster II J, Sırmacı A, Gonzalez M, Mahdieh N, et al. Whole-exome sequencing efficiently detects rare mutations in autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing loss. PLoS One. 2012;7:e50628.
- Elbracht M, Senderek J, Eggermann T, Thürmer C, Park J, Westhofen M, et al. Autosomal recessive postlingual hearing loss (DFNB8): compound heterozygosity for two novel TMPRSS3 mutations in German siblings. J Med Genet. 2007;44:e81.
- Eppsteiner RW, Shearer AE, Hildebrand MS, De-Luca AP, Ji H, Dunn CC, et al. Prediction of cochlear implant performance by genetic mutation: the spiral ganglion hypothesis. Hear Res. 2012;292:51–8.
- Fardaei M, Sarrafzadeh S, Ghafouri-Fard S, Miryounesi M. Autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing loss: a case report with a mutation in TRIOBP gene. Int J Mol Cell Med. 2015;4: 245.
- Farhood Z, Nguyen SA, Miller SC, Holcomb MA, Meyer TA, Rizk GH. Cochlear implantation in inner ear malformations: systematic review of speech perception outcomes and intraoperative findings. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;156:783–93.

- Francis HW, Pulsifer MB, Chinnici J, Nutt R, Venick HS, Yeagle JD, et al. Effects of central nervous system residua on cochlear implant results in children deafened by meningitis. <u>Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.</u> 2004;130: 604–11.
- Friedman TB, Griffith AJ. Human nonsyndromic sensorineural deafness. Annu Rev Genom Hum Genet. 2003;4:341–402.
- Furness DN, Mahendrasingam S, Ohashi M, Fettiplace R, Hackney CM. The dimensions and composition of stereociliary rootlets in mammalian cochlear hair cells: comparison between high- and low-frequency cells and evidence for a connection to the lateral membrane. J Neurosci. 2008;28:6342–53.
- Govaerts P, Daemers K, Yperman M, De Beukelaer C, De Saegher G, De Ceulaer G. Auditory speech sounds evaluation (A§E<sup>®</sup>): a new test to assess detection, discrimination and identification in hearing impairment. Cochlear Implants Int. 2006;7:92–106.
- Govaerts PJ, Vaerenberg B, De Ceulaer G, Daemers K, De Beukelaer C, Schauwers K. Development of a software tool using deterministic logic for the optimization of cochlear implant processor programming. Otol Neurotol. 2010;31:908–18.
- Gu X, Guo L, Ji H, Sun S, Chai R, Wang L, et al. Genetic testing for sporadic hearing loss using targeted massively parallel sequencing identifies 10 novel mutations. Clin Genet. 2015;87: 588–93.
- Guipponi M, Vuagniaux G, Wattenhofer M, Shibuya K, Vazquez M, Dougherty L, et al. The transmembrane serine protease (TMPRSS3) mutated in deafness DFNB8/10 activates the epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) in vitro. Hum Mol Genet. 2002;11: 2829–36.
- Hochman JB, Stockley TL, Shipp D, Lin VY, Chen JM, Nedzelski JM. Prevalence of Connexin 26 (GJB2) and Pendred (SLC26A4) mutations in a population of adult cochlear implant candidates. Otol Neurotol. 2010;31:919–22.
- ISO 8253-2 2009. Acoustics Audiometric test methods – Part 2: Sound field audiometry with pure-tone and narrow-band test signals. 2009.
- ISO 8253-1 2010. Acoustics Audiometric test methods – Part 1: Pure-tone air and bone conduction audiometry. 2010.
- ISO 8253-3 2012. Acoustics Audiometric test methods Part 3: Speech audiometry. 2012.

- Katsuno T, Belyantseva IA, Cartagena-Rivera AX, Ohta K, Crump SM, Petralia RS, et al. TRIOBP-5 sculpts stereocilia rootlets and stiffens supporting cells enabling hearing. JCI Insight. 2019;4.
- Kitajiri S-i, Sakamoto T, Belyantseva IA, Goodyear RJ, Stepanyan R, Fujiwara I, et al. Actinbundling protein TRIOBP forms resilient rootlets of hair cell stereocilia essential for hearing. Cell. 2010;141:786–98.
- Landsberger DM, Vermeire K, Claes A, Van Rompaey V, Van de Heyning P. Qualities of single electrode stimulation as a function of rate and place of stimulation with a cochlear implant. Ear Hear. 2016;37:e149.
- Lenarz T. Cochlear implant: state of the art. GMS Curr Top Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;16:Doc04.
- Liu XZ, Xu LR, Sismanis A, Hu Y, Zhang SL, Nance WE, et al. Epidemiological studies on hearing impairment with reference to genetic factors in Sichuan, China. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2001;110:356–63.
- Miyagawa M, Nishio S-y, Ikeda T, Fukushima K, Usami S-i. Massively parallel DNA sequencing successfully identifies new causative mutations in deafness genes in patients with cochlear implantation and EAS. PLoS One. 2013;8:e75793.
- Miyagawa M, Nishio S-Y, Usami S-I. A comprehensive study on the etiology of patients receiving cochlear implantation with special emphasis on genetic epidemiology. Otol Neurotol. 2016;37:e126.
- Morton CC, Nance WE. Newborn hearing screening: a silent revolution. N Engl J Med. 2006;354:2151-64.
- Naz S, Imtiaz A, Mujtaba G, Maqsood A, Bashir R, Bukhari I, et al. Genetic causes of moderate to severe hearing loss point to modifiers. Clin Genet. 2017;91:589–98.
- Niparko JK, Tobey EA, Thal DJ, Eisenberg LS, Wang N-Y, Quittner AL, et al. Spoken language development in children following cochlear implantation. JAMA. 2010;303:1498–506.
- Park S, Lee H, Kim M, Park J, Kim S-H, Park J. Emerging roles of TRIO and F-actin-binding protein in human diseases. Cell Commun Signal. 2018;16:1–5.
- Pollak A, Lechowicz U, Pieńkowski VAM, Stawiński P, Kosińska J, Skarżyński H, et al. Whole exome sequencing identifies TRIOBP pathogenic variants as a cause of post-lingual bilateral moderate-to-severe sensorineural hearing loss. BMC Med Genet. 2017;18:142.

- Pyman B, Blamey P, Lacy P, Clark G, Dowell R. The development of speech perception in children using cochlear implants: effects of etiologic factors and delayed milestones. Otol Neurotol. 2000;21:57–61.
- Riahi Z, Zainine R, Mellouli Y, Hannachi R, Bouyacoub Y, Laroussi N, et al. Compound heterozygosity for dominant and recessive GJB2 mutations in a Tunisian family and association with successful cochlear implant outcome. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2013;77:1481–4.
- Riazuddin S, Khan SN, Ahmed ZM, Ghosh M, Caution K, Nazli S, et al. Mutations in TRI-OBP, which encodes a putative cytoskeletalorganizing protein, are associated with nonsyndromic recessive deafness. Am J Hum Genet. 2006;78:137–43.
- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17:405.
- Seipel K, O'Brien SP, Iannotti E, Medley QG, Streuli M. Tara, a novel F-actin binding protein, associates with the Trio guanine nucleotide exchange factor and regulates actin cytoskeletal organization. J Cell Sci. 2001;114: 389–99.
- Shahin H, Walsh T, Sobe T, Rayan AA, Lynch ED, Lee MK, et al. Mutations in a novel isoform of TRIOBP that encodes a filamentous-actin binding protein are responsible for DFNB28 recessive nonsyndromic hearing loss. Am J Hum Genet. 2006;78:144–52.

- Taitelbaum-Swead R, Brownstein Z, Muchnik C, Kishon-Rabin L, Kronenberg J, Megirov L, et al. Connexin-associated deafness and speech perception outcome of cochlear implantation. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2006;132:495–500.
- Thierry-Mieg D, Thierry-Mieg J. AceView: a comprehensive cDNA-supported gene and transcripts annotation. Genome Biol. 2006;7: S12.
- Verver EJ, Topsakal V, Kunst HP, Huygen PL, Heller PG, Pujol-Moix N, et al. Nonmuscle myosin heavy chain IIA mutation predicts severity and progression of sensorineural hearing loss in patients with MYH9-related disease. Ear Hear. 2016;37:112–20.
- Vlahović S, Šindija B. The influence of potentially limiting factors on paediatric outcomes following cochlear implantation. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2004;68:1167–74.
- Volk AE, Lang-Roth R, Yigit G, Borck G, Nuernberg G, Rosenkranz S, et al. A novel MYO6 splice site mutation causes autosomal dominant sensorineural hearing loss type DFNA22 with a favourable outcome after cochlear implantation. Audiol Neurotol. 2013;18:192–9.
- Wesdorp M, van de Kamp JM, Hensen EF, Schraders M, Oostrik J, Yntema HG, et al. Broadening the phenotype of DFNB28: mutations in TRIOBP are associated with moderate, stable hereditary hearing impairment. Hear Res. 2017;347:56–62.

- Wouters J, Damman W, Bosman A. Vlaamse opname van woordenlijsten voor spraakaudiometrie. Logopedie. 1994;7:28–34.
- Wu C-C, Chen P-J, Chiu Y-H, Lu Y-C, Wu M-C, Hsu C-J. Prospective mutation screening of three common deafness genes in a large Taiwanese cohort with idiopathic bilateral sensorineural hearing impairment reveals a difference in the results between families from hospitals and those from rehabilitation facilities. Audiol Neurotol. 2008a;13:172–81.
- Wu C-C, Lee Y-C, Chen P-J, Hsu C-J. Predominance of genetic diagnosis and imaging results as predictors in determining the speech perception performance outcome after cochlear implantation in children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008b;162:269–76.
- Wu C-C, Lin Y-H, Liu T-C, Lin K-N, Yang W-S, Hsu C-J, et al. Identifying children with poor cochlear implantation outcomes using massively parallel sequencing. Medicine. 2015;94.
- Wu CC, Liu TC, Wang SH, Hsu CJ, Wu CM. Genetic characteristics in children with cochlear implants and the corresponding auditory performance. Laryngoscope. 2011;121:1287–93.
- Yan D, Tekin D, Bademci G, Foster J, Cengiz FB, Kannan-Sundhari A, et al. Spectrum of DNA variants for non-syndromic deafness in a large cohort from multiple continents. Hum Genet. 2016;135:953–61.
- Zhao B, Müller U. The elusive mechanotransduction machinery of hair cells. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2015;34:172–9.